

CP-2006

3

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)**

**W.P. NO. 3720/2003**

**PETITIONERS**

1. Arvind Kumar Vishwas  
S/o Haripad Vishwas  
Age approximately 34 years  
R/o Ward No. 16, Gandhi Ward,  
Dongargarh, Distt. Rajnandgaon.
2. Sapan Kumar Sarkar  
S/o Atul Kumar Sarkar  
Aged approximately 30 years  
R/o Kawardha, Ward No. 15,  
Distt. ~~Bilaspur~~ (C.G.)  
Kawardha 2

**RESPONDENTS**

1. State of Chhattisgarh  
Through Secretary, Dept. of Health &  
Family Welfare D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur
2. The C.G. Board of Ayurvedic & Unani  
System of Medicine & Naturopathy,  
Raipur, Through the Registrar, C.G. Board  
of Ayurvedic & Unani System of Medicine  
& Naturopathy, Raipur (C.G.)

**VERSUS**

3527/03  
P.R. No. 3527/03  
Presented by Shri M.V. K. Georgeyape  
dated 11.11.03

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF  
THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

OF THE PETITIONERS.

## आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 3920/ सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

| आदेश का<br>दिनांक आदेश<br>क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार<br>के अन्तिम आदेश |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
|                                        | <p><b><u>26.04.2004</u></b></p> <p>Shri Manoj Paranjpe, counsel for the petitioner.<br/>Shri Sandeep Dubey, Panel Lawyer for the State.<br/>Application for urgent hearing is allowed.<br/>Heard.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the respondents to issue an application form to the petitioner so that he has a chance to fill the application form for enrollment and the competent authority may examine the same on merits. This submission is made on the basis that the petitioner is otherwise qualified to be enrolled as Vaidya under the M.P. Ayurvedik Yunani Thatha Prakritic Chikitsa Vyavasayik Adhiniyam, 1970.</p> <p>Shri Paranjpe submits that in similar matter (W.P.No.558/2001- Zahirulla Khan &amp; Anr. Vs. State of Chhattisgarh &amp; Ors.) passed on 24.04.200, orders have been passed and prays for similar orders in this petition also. A copy of the said order is produced.</p> <p>Not opposed.</p> <p>Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, in the opinion of this Court all the aspects mentioned in the petition can be examined by the Competent Authority at the time of consideration. In the result,</p> |                                                           |

[पीछे देखिये]

## आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक Op. 3720 सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

| आदेश का<br>नाम आदेश<br>क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित - 2 -                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार<br>के अन्तिम आदेश |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| Rao/-                               | <p>this petition is disposed of with the direction that the petitioner be given requisite form for enrollment and the same be examined on merits by the Competent Authority keeping in view the judgment rendered by M.P. High Court in W.P.No.287/1990 decided on 06.05.1997. The petitioner should enclose copy of that order with the form while submitting the forms for enrolment.</p> <p>Certified copy as per rules.</p> | <p>Sd/-<br/>Fakhruddin<br/>Judge</p>                      |